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Jörg Klompmaker
BBG Bauberatung Geokunststoffe GmbH & Co. KG, P.O. Box 3025, 32332 Espelkamp-Fiestel, Germany

David Bishop
NAUE GmbH & Co. KG, Gewerbestraße 2, 32339 Espelkamp-Fiestel, Germany

Keywords: bearing capacity, geosynthetics, international infrastructural projects

ABSTRACT

Due to the globalization of the world economy and the global increase of trade, there is an increasing shipment of goods which creates high demands on the maintenance and development of an efficient infrastructure (road, railway & waterway). The development of infrastructural projects will be a booming sector of the construction industry around the world with highest demands in Asia and Europe. Sustainability concepts for the construction activities and funding problems of the contracting authorities are current boundary conditions which can be accommodated optimally with geosynthetic construction methods. At the same time, besides the technical advantages, the economical and ecological advantages can be used too - saving construction costs and taking care of building materials resources. This paper will give a state-of-the-art report about international infrastructural projects.

1 GENERAL

Soil alone is only able to carry compressive and shear forces. However, through the use of geosynthetics as reinforcing elements, soil structures can be built to carry tensile forces. It's a real vision that the reinforcement of soil with geogrids will be as common in the future as the reinforcement of concrete with steel mesh is today.

Today, it is already state-of-the-art for earthworks or base courses in road works or railway projects to be reinforced with geosynthetics. Like reinforced concrete, the technical properties of soil can also be considerably improved in combination with geosynthetics as the geosynthetic reinforcement materials will absorb tensile forces. The aforementioned advantages provided by geosynthetic reinforced structures are meeting with increasing acceptance the world over and the trend is further supported by their excellent track record regarding e.g. seismic loading. Irrespective of normal traffic load and stress in normal usage, under sudden seismic stress, the original short-term strength of geosynthetic reinforcement products comes into its own to provide a valuable source of working load reserves in the case of a catastrophe.

In road or railway applications the insertion of horizontal geogrid layers in granular base courses provide an increased modulus, hence a lateral confinement to the system.

Fig. 1: Geogrid reinforced gravel columns carrying a car
Fig. 1 demonstrates the lateral confinement of the soil particles by the weight of the car resting on the geogrid reinforced gravel columns. This lateral confinement resists the tendency for base courses to create large deformations (rutting) under the anticipated dynamic loads. This reinforcing effect will also lead to a longer service life of traffic structures, but it's very difficult to show this improvement by simple plate loading test. More research is still needed and necessary. In addition, geogrid reinforced traffic areas can avoid massive soil exchange by improving the insitu (existing) soil with the reinforcement.

Geogrid reinforced soil structures as flexible alternative to conventional construction methods, e.g. concrete retaining walls, also allow the preparation of land for building even under difficult topographic conditions. Geogrid reinforced steep slopes enable the development of building land on limited space, which is extremely beneficial in case of expensive land prices. From an economical viewpoint a reduction in the overall construction costs of at least 30 % can be achieved compared to conventional methods.

The current design procedure for appropriate constructions is based on classical soil mechanics and, up to now, has not adequately taken the visco-elastic properties of geosynthetic reinforcement materials and the effects of geosynthetic/soil composite or geosynthetic soil interaction into account. But it has been proven that the present design procedure for reinforced earth constructions comprises quite considerable and reassuring safety reserves which also contribute in furthering the acceptance of such structures. Fig. 2 shows a highway bypass section which was in service with full highway traffic for several years, where the final bearing capacity of the geosynthetic reinforced structure should be evaluated. For loading the structure a big counter pressure rig was installed on the top level of the structure and the reaction forces were taken by big steel reinforced anchor piles. Reaching more than 20 times of the calculated design load of the geogrid reinforced structure, the anchor piles failed, but the geogrid reinforced structure even did not move.

Optimized design procedures and an improved utilization of geosynthetic reinforcement products will distinctly increase the economic benefits of this method of construction even more. Increased research work on this issue is desirable, particularly in the interest of public building authorities. Constructing steeper cut and fill sections, bridge abutments and noise protection walls, frequently in conjunction with smaller areas.
and/or less land acquisition should be consistently made use of as options well in line with even tighter public budgets.

2 ACTUAL DESIGN PRACTICE AND FUTURE NEEDS

When designing the reinforcement of bad bearing or soft soil layers under traffic areas, a “membrane theory” approach is normally taken. Based on own experiences for the design of unpaved access roads, the design approach of Giroud & Noiray (1981) can be recommended when product specific aspects of the geogrid are considered also. For unpaved access roads comparatively large deformations are accepted and the “membrane theory” is more relevant.

In contrast, the “membrane theory” is not adequate for paved roads where only very little deformations are acceptable and Fig. 1 clearly demonstrates that the shown bearing improvement of gravel columns can not be described by any “membrane theory” approach! An empirical design approach based on many years of application experience is included in a design disc available for welded Secugrid® geogrids (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3: Design disc for bearing layer reinforcement in traffic areas using welded Secugrid® geogrids

By introducing the CBR value of the subsoil and defining the CBR value at the surface of the bearing layer the reduction in the thickness of gravel or crushed stone layers may be estimated by using the design disc. Sometimes a second reinforcing layer is recommended and for CBR < 2 % a special, more detailed design approach is necessary.

By designing a geogrid reinforced soil structure the actual design strength $F_{Bi,d}$ of a geosynthetic reinforcing element is defined as follows according to EBGEO (1997):

$$F_{Bi,d} = F_{Bi,k0} / (\gamma_B \cdot A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdot A_3 \cdot A_4)$$

- $F_{Bi,k0}$ = characteristic tensile strength (short-term strength, laboratory value)
- $\gamma_B$ = general safety factor (only EBGEO)
- $A_1$ = factor for creep (for static loads: $A_1 \geq 5$ for PP, $\geq 2.5$ for PES or proof with creep tests)
- $A_2$ = factor for damage due to transportation, installation and compaction (fine grained soils: $A_2 \geq 1.5$, coarse mixed grained soils $A_2 \geq 2$ or filed tests)
- $A_3$ = factors for connections, overlaps, joints etc. (no overlaps in stress direction: $A_3 = 1.0$)
- $A_4$ = factor for weathering (UV), chemical and biological degradation
For a given example for a welded geogrid produced of polyester resin material the following partial safety factors are determined:

\[
A_1 = 1.53 \\
A_2 = 1.02 \\
A_3 = 1.0 \\
A_4 = 1.1
\]

Combining these partial safety factors with the general safety factor \( \gamma_B = 1.3 \) a short-term strength of 80 kN/m of the product is reduced to a design strength of only 33.7 kN/m or 42 % of short-term strength.

All data to establish the \( A_1 \) to \( A_4 \) partial safety factors are estimated and calculated by laboratory tests at breaking load conditions of the geogrid and testing in air instead of testing under soil confinement conditions. At breaking load the reinforcing products show elongation values of \( \varepsilon >> 5 \% \) whereas the serviceability of reinforced earth structures is limited to deformations of \( \varepsilon < 2 \% \). Several in situ measurements in reinforced earth structures even document that the elongation of the reinforcing geogrids is even more less with \( \varepsilon < 0.5 \% \) (Pachomow et al., 2007). Even being confident that the actual design practice of geogrid reinforced earth structures is a very safe approach, a lot of future research is needed to fully understand and describe the geogrid/soil interaction as composite material structure. The very high bearing capacity of geogrid reinforced soil structures cannot merely be explained by friction interaction of soil and reinforcing elements, but additional interlocking effects with forces mobilized in front of the cross bars have to be considered.

In a special research program at the RWTH Aachen (University of Technology, Germany) the influence of geogrid cross bars has been studied. Fig. 4 is showing the mobilisation of drag forces as friction and soil resistance in front of the cross bars (Ziegler et al., 2007).

In grids with more than one cross bar, the rear cross bars cause slighter drag force leaps than the ones in the front as the continuous strain of the grid diminishes the displacement of the cross bars. Thus, the cross bars' loads decrease continuously in the rear area (Fig. 4).

This effect, which is not considered by the common design procedure, wears on up to the point \( x_0 \) from which on the grid is no longer subject to significant desplacement since no more loads are transferred by the cross bars. Not taking any safety factors into consideration, the distance from the place of pull-out force induction to the point \( x_0 \) equals the required anchor length of the reinforcement.

Based on this approach it is also possible to deal with actual loads to the geogrid junctions. The ladder-shaped drag force line also clearly shows that the maximum stress at the junctions can correspond to the first drag force leap only. It is obvious that this drag force leap \( \Delta Z_1 \), particularly with a full grid, is much lower than the force \( Z_1 \) introduced at the start of the grid.

![Fig. 4: Mobilisation of friction and soil resistance by pull-out of a geogrid](image-url)
An upper estimate for the stress at the junction results when one calculates the drag force difference between a corresponding pull-out test with pulling out the longitudinal bars only (test with no cross bars) and a pull-out test with only one cross bar. This difference in pull-out force has to be transmitted in the junctions of the sample. In a real grid, however, the stress at the junction would be even much smaller since the mobilised area is restricted by the subsequent cross bar.

Fig. 5: Different junction design of existing geogrid products

To make full use of the soil/geogrid interaction the junctions of a geogrid have to transmit forces from cross bars to length bars and the junctions have to be strong enough to carry the $\Delta Z$ forces shown in Fig. 4. A different junction design of geogrid products as shown in Fig. 5 will clearly show different soil/geogrid interaction behaviour.

Latest research studying the load transfer mechanism by soil/geogrid interaction with “insoil” testing using a test setup with movable front wall and introducing forces from the soil into the reinforcing geogrids show future guiding results (Bussert 2006). Fig. 6 is showing the test setup.

Fig. 6: Test setup with movable front wall (Bussert 2006)

The test results show that the interaction of soil and geogrid mainly depends on the geosynthetic layer spacing, soil grain size, geosynthetic aperture size as well as strength of shape and extensional stiffness of the geogrid product. Opposite to the presently used design methods no correlation between geosynthetic
The tensile strength and serviceability of the geosynthetic reinforced soil structure can be accomplished. The stress reduction at the front wall by moving the front wall in x-direction (Fig. 6) caused by different geogrid products and with no reinforcement are shown in Fig. 7. With "stiff", welded and extruded geogrids a reduction of the stress level is already given before any front wall movement is initiated. A reinforcing effect occurs immediately without any deformation of the front wall whereas the "textile-style" woven geogrids need an initial deformation to activate the reinforcing effect. When fully activated the soil/geogrid composite material is characterised by significantly smaller effective horizontal stress than the unreinforced soil. This current report on recent research results is showing the promising development to a better understanding of soil/geogrid interaction for the design of even more effective geogrid reinforced soil structures.

Fig. 7: Earth pressure/stress reduction at movable front wall with different/no reinforcement of soil body

The following case histories will document the technical, ecological and economical benefit of projects where the use of geosynthetic reinforcement has enabled advantageous solutions compared to conventional construction methods. All structures are dimensioned and designed based on the current, very conservative design approaches.

3 CASE HISTORIES

3.1 Rehabilitation of Railway Bucharest-Constanta, Romania

The railway section Bucharest - Constanta is the main railway route in Romania, both for international and national traffic. It links the capital Bucharest to the city of Constanta, which is the main port at the Black Sea as well as the main tourism, entertainment and health resort of Romania. The Bucharest-Constanta line is part of the "Pan-European Corridor IV railway line", connecting Germany and Turkey. The overall objective of the rehabilitation of the railway section Bucharest-Constanta is an upgrading of the railway line to EU standards. The project refers to an existing double tracked line, which will completely be rehabilitated with the help of EU-ISPA Programme funding, Romanian Government funds and a loan granted through the Japan Bank for International Cooperation (JBIC). The total railway track is subdivided into three sections and has a total length of approx. 225 km. So far approx. 1.1 million m$^2$ of...
Secugrid® 30/30 Q1 geogrids and approx. 2.2 million m² of Secutex® 251 GRK 4 geotextiles were ordered for Section 1 (Baneasa-Fundulea) & Section 3 (Fetesti-Constanta) to increase the long-term stability of the rehabilitated railway tracks. The decision for Section 2 (Fundulea-Fitesti) is soon to be made. Fig. 8 shows the map of the Romanian railway network.

The existing subgrade in the area of the railway track consisted of a mix of granular soil (old ballast, sand, gravel & stones) and fine grained material (loess). It provided insufficient bearing capacity for the planned railway superstructure.

The design of the railway superstructure was technically oriented towards standards defined by the German Federal Railway Authority (EBA). A typical cross section of the Formation Protection Layer (FPL) designed for speeds of >160 km/h is given in Fig. 9.

On top of the FPL (0-63 mm) a static deformation modulus at reloading (according to DIN 18134) of $E_{V2} = 80$ MPa was specified. The project specifications required the use of geogrids with rigid nodes as well as a Certification and Approval by an authorized European Railway Testing Institute. NAUE GmbH & Co. KG, NAUE Romania s.r.l. together with BBG Bauberatung Geokunststoffe GmbH & Co. KG proved to the contractor that the specified properties for the geosynthetic components could be met with the use of Secugrid® 30/30 Q1 geogrids and Secutex® 251 GRK 4 geotextiles. In Fig. 10 the installation of the FPL and the ballast on top of the geotextile Secutex® 251 GRK 4 and the geogrid Secugrid® 30/30 Q1 is shown.

Static and dynamic load plate tests have been carried out to determine the deformation modulus $E_{V2}$ & $E_{Vd}$ on top of the formation protection layer and on the existing foundation level. The existing bearing capacity was measured directly on the foundation level with a dynamic load plate test (every 100 m), whereas
on top of the FPL a dynamic deformation modulus $E_{vd}$ (every 50 m, immediately after installation of FPL) and a static deformation modulus $E_{v2}$ (every 200 m, 5 days after installation of FPL) was measured. The carried out load plate tests proved that the use of Secugrid® 30/30 Q1 and Secutex® 251 GRK4 underneath the FPL resulted in an additional safety potential for the railway track, as the minimum required project specific deformation modulus of $E_{v2} = 80$ MPa has been exceeded by more than 60% of all carried out load plate tests.

3.2 Oman Polypropylene LLC Plant, Sultanate of Oman

Oman Polypropylene LLC started to build its Polypropylene plant at the end of 2004. For the development of the port at Sohar, which is located at the Gulf of Oman, an area of approx. 24 hectares was artificially created by dredging operations for the port development. The 2,000-hectare Sohar port and industrial zone will house mega industrial facilities ranging from an oil refinery and aluminium smelter to steel mills. The zone will be one of the world’s biggest greenfield petrochemical and metal-based industrial hubs. Oman Polypropylene is integrated with the refinery. The project will add value to Sohar Refinery’s propylene stream to produce polypropylene that can be used in an array of downstream industries.

Soil investigations have encountered loose to very soft sand and organic silt layers in a depth of approx. 6 m. For the development of access roads and storage areas it was therefore required to increase the bearing capacity of the weak subsoil.

The base course was installed in two layers of each 300 mm of crushed granular material. A base layer and an intermediate layer of Secugrid® 40/40 Q1 ensured an increased modulus of the reinforced granular layers and finally a stable platform for the planned roads and storage areas on the originally soft subsoil. Altogether approximately 150,000 m² of Secugrid® 40/40 Q1 were installed in this project.

3.3 Road Widening Project Tabing-Duku, Indonesia

The Tabing-Duku project near the town of Padang on the largest of the Indonesian islands, Sumatra, required an existing road from the airport to the city center to be widened at the most cost-efficient price possible. In spite of extremely problematic ground conditions on the site with low load-bearing capacities and a high ground-water level, NAUE worked out a possible solution in cooperation with BBG Bauberatung Geokunststoffe GmbH & Co. KG. The solution involved an embankment, reinforced with uniaxial Secugrid® R-geogrids.

15,200 m² of Secugrid® 120/40 R1 geogrids made of polypropylene (PP) were installed with anchorage lengths between 6.0 m and 10.0 m. The reinforced slope had an inclination of more than 50° and was constructed with the wrap-around method. The slope surface was finally covered by the natural vegetation. The original design envisaged geogrid reinforcement layers with 60 - 80 kN/m tensile strength with a layer spacing of 0.50 m.
However, the limited budget did not allow the implementation of this version and so the decision fell on Secugrid® 120/40 R1. The selected type of product allowed installation with a greater layer spacing because of its higher short-term and long-term tensile strength and this led to greatly reduced installation costs. The Secugrid® solution with geogrid widths of 4.75 m likewise allowed faster and more cost-efficient installation, in particular as the loss through overlapping is less compared with narrower products. As subsoil conditions were extremely adverse and because, in some parts, it was necessary to install Secugrid® "under water", the bottom layer of the embankment suffered extreme deformation, as it was expected. But Secugrid® was able to absorb these enormous forces without any problems and without any visible damage. The deformations were remedied as further layers of Secugrid® were installed to reinforce the embankment. Measurements were taken on the upper edge of the embankment to determine the degree of deformation. Hardly any deformations were noted, which confirmed that Secugrid® had allowed an existing road to be successfully and safely be widened on an extremely soft subgrade - at favourable costs.

3.4 Bangunan City Hall, Brunei

During the rainy season in December 2005 heavy rainfalls created soft soil conditions at the construction site of the Bangunan City Hall in Brunei. The fully saturated clayey subgrade did not provide sufficient bearing capacity to allow access of trucks, delivering construction material to the site. To prevent a complete shutdown or delay of the construction works due to a shortage of construction materials, measures were necessary which could re-establish the trafficability on site in a very short period of time. To limit the costs for the necessary ground improvement works it was intended to use an on site available silty & clayey cohesive fill material in combination with the considered geogrid reinforcement. Especially
where fine grained soils are used as reinforced fill on top of extremely weak soils, the stiffness of the reinforcement layer plays an important role. The high flexural rigidity of the used Combigrid® 30/30 Q1 151 GRK3 (Secugrid® 30/30 Q1 geogrid + Secutex® 151 GRK 3 separation and filtration geotextile) provided a stable subbase even with the use of cohesive fill material. The possibility of using the on site available fill material reduced the overall construction costs and allowed continuous construction works without any time lag on site.

3.5 Rehabilitation of Railway section near Weesenstein, Germany

The Elbe-river flood disaster in 2002 destroyed approx. 80% of the infrastructure in the valley "Müglitztal" near Dresden. Close to the city of Weesenstein, an 11 m high railway embankment in the area of an undercut slope collapsed over a distance of approx. 100 m so that the rail traffic was cut off.

To get the rail traffic running again in the shortest possible time, the German National Railways (DB Projekt-Bau GmbH, NL Süd-Ost) and the planning company EVP/GIV GmbH, NL Dresden, decided to install a reinforced soil structure with steel grid formwork as facing system, as a temporary measure, as the Federal Railways Agency's standard guideline does not approve the application of permanent geogrid reinforced soil structures where they are subjected to rail traffic. In addition, the final structure can only be approved in accordance with a flood protection concept for the Müglitz River which, at that time, did not exist. The combination of a geosynthetic reinforcement together with the fill materials as compound ensures the internal and external stability of the structure. The steel grid element stabilizes the slope face and a geotextile non-woven separation and filter layer prevents the erosion of the fill material.

The approx. 5 m high geogrid reinforced part at the bottom of the slope is constructed with approx. 60° inclination and is superposed by a 4 m high embankment. The structural analysis for the reinforced slope was carried out on the basis of the German recommendations for geosynthetic reinforcements (EBGEO, 1997).
The applied product Secugrid® 120/40 R6 has a Federal Railways Agency’s (Eisenbahnbundesamt EBA) certification for this application. A 0/45 mm crushed mix acted as covering material. The Secugrid® reinforcement element was installed in 10 layers with a distance of 0.50 m in line with the design analysis. The immediate interlocking effect of Secugrid® with the covering material and the product structure demand high transfer of forces and minimal deformation of the embankment both during installation and under traffic load. Structural deformation when installing Secugrid® need not be taken into account as any occurring force is immediately absorbed by Secugrid®.

Within just a few weeks, the whole structure had been completed by a pool of 10 local construction companies using simple, fast and cost-efficient construction methods so that the flow of regular traffic was quickly reinstated. Today, modern construction methods like these can prevent erosion hazards in times of flooding.

### 3.6 Reinforced Slope in Marbella, Spain

In the hilly landscape of the Andalusia coastal city Marbella, located about 50 km west of Malaga, building land is extremely expensive as well as difficult to develop resulting from the natural topography, which is characterised by a terrain inclination of about 45°.

For the establishment of real estate, the Spanish private owner planned to fill up the hilly site to create an area of 10,000 m² of building land. As an attractive landscaping with natural sea view, the owner separated the total area into 3 main plateaux, which were stabilised by geogrid reinforced retaining walls and steep slopes.

Fig. 20: Original site situations in the hills of Marbella

Fig. 21: Site plan view with 5 wall gradients

To realize an attractive landscaping, different facing systems have been chosen for the different wall and slope sections.

The final task was to construct three independently located houses including complexes of recreation facilities, access roads and gardens separated into individual sections with an area of 5,400 m², 3,000 m² and 3,000 m². The original situation of the particular area is shown in Figure 20.

The aim was to provide reinforced earth structures to reach the maximum terrain level of 150 m ASL (nominal level above sea level) starting from the lowest level of 130 m ASL by limiting the required maximum height with characteristics of terraces.

In total 5 main geogrid reinforced retaining walls and slopes have been constructed to create the above mentioned necessary building land. Single geogrid reinforced earth structures with lengths varying between 60 m and 200 m were required due to the existing topography and design requirements.

Inclinations of 90° (with small intermediate berms) and 70° (continuous slope) have been realised. For all facing systems the wrap-around method has been used. Pre-placed natural blocks as well as sacrificial galvanised steel grid meshes were chosen as facing. The steel grid meshes allow a fast construction rate, because the steel meshes remain in place after the geogrid installation and fill soil compaction. The steel meshes provide a high stiffness supporting a smooth facing.

The wrap-around method includes the placing of vegetation soil (fine-graded top or humus soil) placed directly behind the steel grid elements. To avoid the wash-out of the fine-graded top soil at the wall face a Secutex® nonwoven separation and filtration geotextile has been installed.
The primary geogrid is used for the wrap-around-method. The higher strength geogrid was installed partly as a secondary reinforcement layer after placing and compacting the first 30 cm of fill soil. Due to the extremely dry summers in the south of Spain and the steep inclination of the bottom wall, an artificial irrigation system, consisting of slotted pipes, has been installed to the facing system. The geogrid reinforced soil structures as flexible alternative to concrete retaining walls have allowed a cost effective, attractive development of real estate in a difficult topography.

In total 5 different geogrid reinforced soil structures have been realised in Marbella to provide terraced plateaux, filled up with 40,000 m$^3$ of soil. The presented solution provides significant advantages concerning the flexibility in geometry and cost-effectiveness in relation to the regionally expensive land prices and conventional construction methods.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The experiences gained from different case histories prove that with the use of high modulus geogrid reinforcement layers, the load bearing capacity of soils can significantly be increased, extending the service-life by reducing deformations. Even at extreme soft subgrade conditions geogrids first of all allow and secondly improve the compaction of foundation layers.
This enables significant savings of foundation material in road or railway applications compared to unreinforced structures. Especially under consideration of decreasing building material resources and consequential increasing prices, the use of geosynthetic products will help to reduce construction costs. Geogrid reinforced soil structures allow a quick and economic construction method for the rehabilitation of landslides and secondly reduce construction costs as steep structures can be built, which utilize reduced building land compared to natural slope inclinations.
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